

Why Nobody Acts

Everyone says nobody is prepared. Nobody says how, and nobody says for what. The reason is a fourfold paralysis. Of the two ways out — stopping or preparing — each is doubly locked. Exactly one bolt can be opened from below, the named scenario, and that is the lever this piece puts into the hands of the individual person.

Whitepaper · Version 1.0 · May 2026

Richard Frederic Bertossa · Institut für ASI-Resilienz

ASiresilience.org

PART I

The Discourse Hook: Everyone Stops at “You Are Not Ready”

You have seen the videos and read the articles. The sentence is always the same: society is not ready for what AI brings, prepare yourself. The sentence is true, but almost worthless, because it is the most comfortable thing one can say. It sounds urgent, demands no commitment, can never be refuted.

Two gaps are always missing. Nobody says how. There is a weak “how” in bulk — learn to use AI, retrain, stay flexible — but that assumes everything keeps running as it does today, only faster. In case the usual layer stops holding for a while, this “how” offers nothing. And nobody says for what, because a “prepare for X” demands a commitment to a scenario, and commitments can be wrong. So one stays with the assumption-free warning.

We do the reverse. First the map of what can happen, sober and checkable. Then, because these possibilities share a common core — the transition — the concrete how for the individual person and their closest circle.

We do not claim to know the future. We prepare for what stays the same across the scenarios. That is the difference between alarm and preparation.

PART II

The Fourfold Paralysis: Two Doors, Four Bolts

Once you see the paralysis, you understand why nothing moves. Only two doors lead out of the situation — stopping or preparing — and both are doubly locked.

Door one: Stopping. Door two: Preparing.

CLOSED, BEYOND THE INDIVIDUAL. ONE BOLT OPENS FOR YOU.

Bolt 1 — No mechanism. Nobody knows technically how to reliably stop or control such a system. The problem is open.

Bolt 3 — opens from below. No scenario, so name it. Nobody says for what, because that demands a commitment. Whoever names the scenario also unlocks the how.

Bolt 2 — No coordination. Even those who knew could not brake alone. The first to step out loses against those who keep running.

Bolt 4 — No how. Follows directly from the third: without the “what for,” no method. Falls with bolt 3.

Three independent gaps — no mechanism, no coordination, no scenario — the fourth follows from the third. The stop door stays shut; it is coordination and technology. The prepare door has one lock that opens: the named scenario.

You cannot unlock the stop door — it is coordination and technology, beyond the individual. But the prepare door has only one bolt that opens for you at all: the named scenario. Whoever names it also unlocks the how. The paralysis is total at the large scale and breakable at your own.

PART III

What the Bunkers Give Away: Whoever Is Closest Sees Earlier, but Not Further

The strongest objection says the powerful surely know better. Three points refute it without tipping into conspiracy.

Insiders and horizon. Those with the closest access — who test the models in the lab and build them themselves — prepare most quietly and do not share their thinking. With their head start they do not build the coordinated fallback level; they build bunkers, quietly and privately. The bunker is not proof they have an answer — it is the confession that they do not. Access is no proof of correct conclusions: whoever is closest sees earlier, but not further.

Incentive structure, not conspiracy. Whoever builds a model on whose rise fortune, company, and reputation depend has every reason to reassure the public. Optimism is rewarded, silence is safe, the warning costs. The honest signal sits in the actions, not the words. Do not look at what they say, look at what they build.

Governments, structurally incapable of acting. Without deep technical understanding, bound to day-to-day politics and headlines, they react to what is visible, not what is structural. A danger without images, one that does not fit into an election cycle, falls through their grid.

Nobody at the large scale takes responsibility, not out of malice, but because the structure is built that way. So it falls downward, onto the individual person and their circle.

CONCLUSION

That is the difference between alarm and preparation. Alarm stops at concern, because it lacks the model from which action follows. Preparation begins exactly where the model begins.